

## REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

**Title of Publication:** Photograph 10

**Other Known Title:** Not Stated

**Format:** Computer Image File Printout

**Director/Author:** Not Applicable

**Producer/Publisher:** Not Applicable

**Country of Origin:** Not Stated

**Language:** Not Applicable

**Applicant:** The District Court at Hamilton

**Distributor:** Not Applicable

<b>Classification:</b>	Objectionable.
------------------------	----------------

**Descriptive Note:** Not Applicable

**Display Conditions:** Not Applicable

**Date of entry in Register:** 15 June 2007

**Date of direction to issue a label:** Not Applicable

**OFLC No:** 700921

**Excisions:** Not Applicable

**Reasons for excisions:**

Not Applicable

**Summary of reasons  
for classification:**

The computer image file printout *Photograph 10* is classified as:  
Objectionable.

The publication promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct. The image focuses closely on a naked woman urinating in a spectacular fashion and invites a sexual interpretation. Her pose is contrived to draw attention to her genitals and to the urine. The act of urination is presented in a manner that is intended to sexually arouse the viewer.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, as set out in section 14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This outcome is consistent, however, with Parliament's intention that publications falling under section 3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 are deemed to be objectionable.